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**Abstract**

The Abstract of original articles and systematic reviews should be structured with the following section headings: Background and objective(s), Methods, Results, and Conclusions. The Abstracts of reviews and other non-original articles are unstructured and presented as a single paragraph with no subheadings. Acronyms and abbreviations must be defined the first time they appear in an Abstract. Abbreviations will only be used if the abbreviated term appears 3 or more times in the Abstract. Footnotes and references are not permitted.

**Graphical abstract**

A graphical abstract is highly recommended. The graphical abstract should summarize the contents of the article in a concise and pictorial form. The graphical abstract should be submitted as a separate file in the online submission system. Please provide an image with a minimum size of 531 × 1328 pixels (height × width) or larger, maintaining the same aspect ratio. The image should be readable at a size of 5 × 13 cm using a regular screen resolution of 96 dpi. Preferred file types: JPG, TIFF, or PDF for submission; an editable version, such as PSD, EPS, AI, Visio, WMF, EMF, Word, Excel, PowerPoint, OPJ, CDR, or PDF is preferred for the production process.
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\*\*The next sections of the template are prepared following the outline of an original article.\*\*

**Introduction**

The Introduction should focus on the rationale for conducting the study--why it was performed, and why the objectives were formulated as they were. The Introduction should succinctly convey the authors’ depth of understanding of the problem(s) addressed by the study, and the work of other investigators in this area. The objective(s) is then succinctly stated in the final paragraph. The statement of the objective is the most important sentence of the paper, since it determines all that follows it. A brief outline of the study design is optional, but sometimes highly recommended if the design is not immediately clear from the objective or to entice the reader to read further. The Introduction is not divided into subsections.

**Materials and methods**

The Methods section should provide all the details that would be required for another investigator to repeat the work as it was performed by the authors. It is highly recommended to include a beginning subsection titled 'Study design', which gives an overview of how the study satisfied the objectives of the study (as stated in the last paragraph of the Introduction). Subsequent subsections should be presented in the order in which the protocols were performed. It is highly recommended that each subsection begins with a sentence explaining the reason for the protocol, that is, how the protocol contributed to satisfying the objectives of the study. The steps taken for each protocol discussed in each subsection should be presented in the order in which they were performed. The results of an experiment should not be included in the Methods section, unless the results determined the direction of further experiments.

All experimental methods described in the Methods section must have accompanying data presented in the Results section or additional materials, and vice versa. Do not have a separate subsection for listing materials or equipment; but do list the materials and equipment within the protocols if they influenced the data. The complete names and locations (city, state/province and country) must be provided for the manufacturers of drugs, tools, instruments, software, reagents and equipment.

Methods that have been published previously and used without significant alteration may be described briefly, with the appropriate reference. When significant changes have been made to a method, however, those changes should be described in detail, with citations as appropriate. By convention, the last subsection should discuss the detailed methods and software used to perform statistical analyses. No materials or methods should be presented outside of the Methods section.

All studies involving human subjects or animals should include a clear statement concerning ethics in the Materials and Methods section or the Ethical statement of the Declaration section. If the manuscript contains any individual person’s data in any form (including individual details, images or videos), consent for publication must be obtained from that person.

**Results**

The subsections of the Results should correspond to the subsections of the Methods, as much as practical. Reasons for performing an experiment or protocol may be summarized, but do not state or repeat the steps of an experimental procedure.

***Subsection 1***

**Subsubsection 1**

There should be data reported for each experiment described in the Methods section. The Results section should objectively present the data in a straightforward manner, noting the degree of significance in differences when appropriate. The Results section should not include interpretations of the data or conclusions, unless they redirected the investigation. Where data are presented in a table or figure, they should be summarized in the text with a reference directing the reader to the specific table or figure. Each table and figure should be referred to in numerical order.

The Results section usually does not include any background information or discussion of results from previously published studies. Therefore, in general, no references are present in the Results.

Citing “data not shown” is discouraged; however, if unavoidable the term will be presented at the end of the sentence containing the description of the findings and written in parentheses as “…(data not shown)…”

**Discussion**

The data presented in the Results section, including figures, tables and supplemental materials, should not be repeated in the Discussion section.

It is highly recommended that the Discussion section begin with a very brief summary (1-3 sentences) of the study’s objectives, the methods used to achieve the objectives, and the results. Repetitions of information that was already provided in the Introduction, or information in support of the study’s importance or objectives, is misplaced and discouraged.

Remaining paragraphs of the Discussion should focus on interpretations of the data of the study, with thoughtful comments on the novelty or unexpected features of the results, and references to relevant past studies.

The paragraph before the final summary and conclusions should discuss the limitations of the study. Limitations of the study are only those features that weakened the statistical power of the data, or prevented the full realization of the study’s objectives (as stated in the Introduction).

The conclusions section should briefly summarize conclusions that are directly supported by the evidence, and comment on the implications of the findings.

**Future research directions/Prospect/Prediction**

This part is mandatory. Apart from the standard sections for original articles (Introduction, Methods, Results and Discussion [IMRD]) or review articles, an additional section of “Future Research Directions/Prospect/Prediction” is REQUIRED by the Exploratory Journals. For more details, please refer to “[Specific Instructions for Exploratory Journals](https://www.xiahepublishing.com/journal/erhm/instruction#05)”.
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**Tables and Figures**

**Table 1. This is a table**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Title 1** | **Title 2** | **Title 3** |
| entry 1 | data | data |
| entry 2 | data | data 1 |

1Tables may have a footer. Abbreviations in the tables must be defined in the table footnotes.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| a | b |

**Fig. 1. This is a figure.** If there are multiple panels, they should be listed as: a. Description of what is contained in the first panel; b. Description of what is contained in the second panel. Abbreviations in the figures must be defined in the figure legend.